

2.0 Project Information

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION

1. **Project title:** Stonesfair Subdivision
2. **Lead agency name and address:** City of Redding
777 Cypress Avenue
Redding, CA 96001
3. **Contact person and phone number:** Douglas DeMallie, Planning Manager
777 Cypress Avenue
Redding, CA 96001
(530) 225-4020
4. **Project location:** APN 054-510-027
Section 21, T 31 North, R 4 West, MDM
5. **Project sponsor's name and address:** Shasta Lake LLC
PO Box 496028
Redding, CA 96049
6. **General plan designation:** 2 to 3.5 units per acre
7. **Zoning:** RS-3 (Residential Single Family – 3 units per acre)
8. **Description of project:** The proposed project includes a Tentative Subdivision Map consisting of 118 single-family homes on 51.2 acres of land within the City of Redding with the intent of achieving a project density of approximately 2.6 units per acre.
9. **Surrounding land uses and setting:** The proposed project is located within the Shastina Ranch Facility Plan Area as described in the *City of Redding Shastina Ranch Environmental Impact Report* (SCH No. 2004032126). Parcels surrounding the proposed project area are undeveloped or contain limited rural residential development on parcels ranging in size from slightly over one acre to approximately four acres in size. The Shastina Ranch Subdivision is located to the south and east of the proposed project.
10. **Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g. permits, financing approval, or participation agreement):** Water Quality Certification from the Regional Water Quality Control Board, Section 404 and or Section 10 permits from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

2.0 PROJECT INFORMATION


ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is reduced to less than significant through the use of mitigation measures indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Air Quality |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Geology/Soils |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards/Hazardous Materials | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public Services | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Utilities/Service Systems | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance | |

DETERMINATION: On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

 _____ 1-4-08
Signature Date

Douglas DeMallie _____
Printed Name Date

Planning Manager _____
Title